

CAREER SERVICE COMMITTEE

Working Group on EMPLOYEE RATING

Minutes of 3rd Meeting, 1 November 1951, 1:30 P.M.

Present:



25X1A9a

- 1. The minutes of the meeting of 23 October were read and approved as corrected. The final sentence of paragraph 3 was corrected to read: "In view of this decision, consideration of job families in connection with Performance Rating was laid aside with the realization that this problem would again arise when the Working Group considered Evaluation of the employee."
- 2. a. The portion of the proposed minutes of the Career Service Committee meeting of 30 October which pertained to this Working Group were read and revised. The suggested revision was incorporated in the minutes of the meeting as published.
- 25X1A9a b. explained that paragraph 2 of the proposed minutes was inserted as a tactical move. It was agreed that it is better to receive prior concurrence formally from the Assistant Directors rather than draw up proposals which may later prove unacceptable to them.
 - . 3. A Performance Rating form was presented by in accordance 25X1A9a with instructions at the second meeting. Discussion of the form was limited in view of lack of clear definition of the purpose to be served by the form.
 - μ_{\bullet} . The Committee reached the following points of agreement as necessary factors in a Performance Rating system if such a system or systems is to be effective.

*		a.	A	primary	requ	ir en	nent	for	suc	cess	of	Peri	formance	Rating
lies	in	prope	er	training	of	the	supe	ervi	sor	makir	Jg .	such	rating.	

25X1A9a

	DOG 1/4 NEV DATE 22 JUN	ŧ		\$
١	DEL BOND - OF 30 I	YDE	<u> </u>	,
-	UNIC CLASS PAGES REV JUST 22 NEXT REV 20//	GLASS GLASS	HR 70-	2
	JUST OR NEXT NEV CALL			

Approved For Release 1999/09/22 : CIA-RDP80-01826R000400040094-5

b. There must be free discussion between the employee and the supervisor on all elements on which a Performance Rating is based.

5. The meeting was adjourned at 3:30 P.M.

25X1A9a

